

ONE WEEK LATER FROM EUROPE.

ARRIVAL OF THE CANADA.

(By the American Telegraph Company's Lines, 21 Wall street.)

HALIFAX, Thursday, Feb. 24, 1859.

The Royal Mail steamship *Canada*, Capt. Isaac, from Liverpool at 2 o'clock on the afternoon of the 19th inst., arrived here at 6 o'clock this evening. Her date is one week later than those already received.

The *Canada* reports that on the 13th inst. she passed the Europe off France.

The steamship *City of Washington*, from New-York, arrived at Liverpool at 1 o'clock in the afternoon of the 11th inst.

The steamer *Fulton* sailed for New-York on the 9th inst., and brought out the news that the steamship *Nagara* reached Liverpool at 6 o'clock in the morning of the 7th inst., and the *Jura* at noon on the same day.

The session of the French Legislature opened on the 7th inst., with an important speech from the Emperor.

He commenced by referring to the existing agitation, stating that it was excited without any apparent and imminent danger, and after expressing regret at the impetuosity and pointing to his peaceful policy as a proof of his moderation, he proceeded as follows:

"Today it is my duty to explain again to you that what I have said to you has not been forgotten. What has been my principle? To restore Europe, to restore France to her true rank among the nations, to cement closely our alliance with England, and to regulate with the continental Powers of Europe the extent of our relations, according to the conformity of our views and the nature of those relations toward France. I have said to you, 'I am anxious to prove by this expression that, although the heir of Napoleon I, I had ascended the throne, he would inaugurate a system which could only be disturbed for the purpose of defending great nations and great interests.'"

With respect to the Alliance with England, I have used all my perseverance to consolidate it, and I have found on the other side of the Channel a happy recognition of sentiment on the part of the Queen as to the part of all statements of every shade of opinion: to state that it is not valuable to the peace of the world have I thrown aside all opportunities of reviving irritating recollections of the past, the calumnious attacks dictated by prejudice, even the national antipathies of my own country. This alliance has borne its fruits. Not only have we acquired together a lasting glory in the East, but at the extremity of the world we have just opened an immense empire to the progress of civilization and the triumph of the Christian religion.

Mr. CURRY (Ala.) insisted that we must equalize our revenues and expenditures. There were enormous taxes on the one side, and enormous taxes on the other, and no American statesman should hesitate to select the former.

Mr. NICHOLS (Ohio) avowed himself in favor of free trade and direct taxation. Our present evils arise not from the tariff bill, but from legislation here stimulating speculation.

Mr. KUNKEL argued in favor of specific duties, and that a protective tariff was the best revenue tariff.

Mr. VALLANDIGHAM contended that all interests should be regarded in making a tariff. He was not a friend of the Tariff of 1857, which he regarded as a manufacturers' tariff, and highly protective at that.

Mr. HOWARD (Mich.) contended that it is impossible to raise \$50,000,000 from the present tariff. He favored a discriminating tariff for protection.

Mr. CURRY (Ala.) insisted that we must equalize our revenues and expenditures. There were enormous taxes on the one side, and enormous taxes on the other, and no American statesman should hesitate to select the former.

Mr. NICHOLS (Ohio) avowed himself in favor of free trade and direct taxation. Our present evils arise not from the tariff bill, but from legislation here stimulating speculation.

Mr. KUNKEL argued in favor of specific duties, and that a protective tariff was the best revenue tariff.

Mr. VALLANDIGHAM contended that all interests should be regarded in making a tariff. He was not a friend of the Tariff of 1857, which he regarded as a manufacturers' tariff, and highly protective at that.

Mr. HOWARD (Mich.) contended that it is impossible to raise \$50,000,000 from the present tariff. He favored a discriminating tariff for protection.

Mr. CURRY (Ala.) insisted that we must equalize our revenues and expenditures. There were enormous taxes on the one side, and enormous taxes on the other, and no American statesman should hesitate to select the former.

Mr. NICHOLS (Ohio) avowed himself in favor of free trade and direct taxation. Our present evils arise not from the tariff bill, but from legislation here stimulating speculation.

Mr. KUNKEL argued in favor of specific duties, and that a protective tariff was the best revenue tariff.

Mr. VALLANDIGHAM contended that all interests should be regarded in making a tariff. He was not a friend of the Tariff of 1857, which he regarded as a manufacturers' tariff, and highly protective at that.

Mr. HOWARD (Mich.) contended that it is impossible to raise \$50,000,000 from the present tariff. He favored a discriminating tariff for protection.

Mr. CURRY (Ala.) insisted that we must equalize our revenues and expenditures. There were enormous taxes on the one side, and enormous taxes on the other, and no American statesman should hesitate to select the former.

Mr. NICHOLS (Ohio) avowed himself in favor of free trade and direct taxation. Our present evils arise not from the tariff bill, but from legislation here stimulating speculation.

Mr. KUNKEL argued in favor of specific duties, and that a protective tariff was the best revenue tariff.

Mr. VALLANDIGHAM contended that all interests should be regarded in making a tariff. He was not a friend of the Tariff of 1857, which he regarded as a manufacturers' tariff, and highly protective at that.

Mr. HOWARD (Mich.) contended that it is impossible to raise \$50,000,000 from the present tariff. He favored a discriminating tariff for protection.

Mr. CURRY (Ala.) insisted that we must equalize our revenues and expenditures. There were enormous taxes on the one side, and enormous taxes on the other, and no American statesman should hesitate to select the former.

Mr. NICHOLS (Ohio) avowed himself in favor of free trade and direct taxation. Our present evils arise not from the tariff bill, but from legislation here stimulating speculation.

Mr. KUNKEL argued in favor of specific duties, and that a protective tariff was the best revenue tariff.

Mr. VALLANDIGHAM contended that all interests should be regarded in making a tariff. He was not a friend of the Tariff of 1857, which he regarded as a manufacturers' tariff, and highly protective at that.

Mr. HOWARD (Mich.) contended that it is impossible to raise \$50,000,000 from the present tariff. He favored a discriminating tariff for protection.

Mr. CURRY (Ala.) insisted that we must equalize our revenues and expenditures. There were enormous taxes on the one side, and enormous taxes on the other, and no American statesman should hesitate to select the former.

Mr. NICHOLS (Ohio) avowed himself in favor of free trade and direct taxation. Our present evils arise not from the tariff bill, but from legislation here stimulating speculation.

Mr. KUNKEL argued in favor of specific duties, and that a protective tariff was the best revenue tariff.

Mr. VALLANDIGHAM contended that all interests should be regarded in making a tariff. He was not a friend of the Tariff of 1857, which he regarded as a manufacturers' tariff, and highly protective at that.

Mr. HOWARD (Mich.) contended that it is impossible to raise \$50,000,000 from the present tariff. He favored a discriminating tariff for protection.

Mr. CURRY (Ala.) insisted that we must equalize our revenues and expenditures. There were enormous taxes on the one side, and enormous taxes on the other, and no American statesman should hesitate to select the former.

Mr. NICHOLS (Ohio) avowed himself in favor of free trade and direct taxation. Our present evils arise not from the tariff bill, but from legislation here stimulating speculation.

Mr. KUNKEL argued in favor of specific duties, and that a protective tariff was the best revenue tariff.

FROM WASHINGTON.

AN EXTRA SESSION.

Correspondence of The N. Y. Tribune.

WASHINGTON, Feb. 23, 1859.

The real question before Congress is, "Shall there be an extra session?" This question has not yet been fully considered, and it is, therefore, impossible to say with any certainty what the result is to be. The Opposition is strong enough in the House to force one, if it chooses. Will it do so?

The Republicans and the Pennsylvania Tariff men favor standing out and forcing it, if they cannot secure action on the Tariff in any other way. The Government must have money. They must have a permanent loan, or authority to issue Treasury notes. If the Opposition does not flinch, and declares and holds fast to a determination to give neither, the Treasury will be run absolutely dry by May, and the Executive will be forced to call Congress together to get the means to carry on the Government.

The Administration had a very large majority in Congress to begin with, but it has none now. It is therefore wholly in the hands of the Opposition so far as the supplies go. The Opposition must recognize the fact, and act accordingly. The Republicans are a large majority of this Opposition, but by no means the whole of it. Yet they are where they control the subject. And it is really for them to determine upon a line of conduct that bears directly upon the question of whether there shall be an extra session or not. One thing should be considered. It is plain that when the new House is called together, the Republicans will have a heavier responsibility for the acts of the Government than they have now. That responsibility they may very cheerfully assume when it comes upon them in the regular way. But it is a question whether they should desire to rush upon it, or be precipitated upon it prematurely, when either a real or fancied necessity of acting promptly and comprehensively upon the whole subject of the national finances presses upon them, and where both the Executive and Senate are against them. Such a state of things, in a closely divided House, will be very likely to lead to compromises and complications of an embarrassing and threatening character. The question is, in my estimation, one of serious import.

If one can judge, however, by the experience of the past, and I do not know where we are to look for a better guide, I should say that a loan or a power to issue Treasury notes will be finally got through at this session, but being pinned on to an appropriation bill and jammed through by the Speaker, if necessary, at the close, without reference to which way the majority would stand on a fair vote. The present method of legislation during the last hours of the short session have never yet failed to accomplish the objects of the Speaker's party.

The subject of the Fishing Bounties seems to have been definitely settled by the vote of Monday in the House. The Democratic party has failed of success in its war upon them, as it has in most of its other mischievous schemes. The question will now go over to the next Congress, where the Republicans will be still more able to thwart the determination to repeal them than they have been in this.

The Pension Office has gone into a calculation to see what the great Pension bill lately passed by the House will take from the Treasury, should it become a law. It is found that it would require two \$20,000,000 and \$30,000,000 for the first year, and over eight millions a year afterwards. As the bill passed the House, the pensions are to go back and begin in 1857.

THE LAST LEVER—A CRUSH AT THE PRESIDENT'S.

Correspondence of The N. Y. Tribune.

WASHINGTON, Feb. 23, 1859.

This paradise of backmen was more precarious than usual last evening, for there was the last lever at the White House, and the 23d of February lever, at once. Such a crash was never known before! After 9, it was all but impossible to force one's way out of the six inches of space occupied. Nothing was to be seen but a mass of heads; nothing to be heard but the crack of bursting hoops and the gasp of squeezed humanity. Upon the steps were throngs of ladies, who, after waiting half an hour in hopes of forcing ingress, reentered their carriages in despair and drove away, the front of four hours toll before their mirrors undisturbed. In the long hall and vestibule were other throngs, still more impatient to find egress, and at last forced, many of them, to leap from the window, at the imminent hazard of their lives, if not of their lives. A few, mostly men, forced their way into the vestibule, a few more into the first room, where one Yankee Member of Congress mounted upon a chair to take a look at the President, since he could not reach him. Who could? A large, jolly Republican Senator, who has recently become a boon companion of Mr. Buchanan, was heard to declare that he would shake his venerable hand, and did actually progress some inches; but his attenuated shape this morning signified that such progress effected. Even members of the Cabinet vainly essayed to reach their chief. The Secretary of the Interior was weather-bound for three quarters of an hour in the vestibule; and Gen. Cass, after a vain attempt, managed to make his portly way through the press to the pillars, where he stood, as if the White House were after him—to borrow an expression from a spectator—across the grounds, homeward.

No one who saw that fight could say that he was too old to run for the Presidency in 1860.

And so heterogeneous a mass of human beings, such diverse representatives of the great creators, the people, of whom the old man in the White House is the marvelous creation, are rarely seen together. The swell mob, the firemen, the shouting boy, the drunk militia man, the common pickpocket, the women of all work, the women of no work, the painted women, the brazen-faced, were there, as well as the perfumed circle of fashion and the rose of beauty.

The cable hire of the clock and hat room no doubt tried to perform what he had promised; but in some cases the protracted clock failed to bring back the hat or the coat which had been left in the African's care. More than one man was seen wending his way toward the Avenue with a white handkerchief slowly protecting his head, and more than one lady bewailed the loss of her emerald.

What deeds are done in thy name, oh Liberty! oh Democracy!

DEBATE ON POPULAR SOVEREIGNTY.

From Our Special Correspondent.

WASHINGTON, Feb. 23, 1859.

The gentleman of the Senate who helped elect Mr. Buchanan got into a great quarrel to-day in that body, on the negro question. Mr. Douglas and Mr. Pugh defended the right of the people of the Territories to exclude blacks therefrom; and Mr. Davis, Mr. Mason, Mr. Brown and others, denoted this right. Mr. Douglas grew vehement, and rejected his old Illinois doctrines, as they were interpreted last Summer in the newspapers, very distinctly and unequivocally. Mr. Pugh backed him up in his positions, and came into action in gallant style, doing some uncommonly smart cannonading upon the ranks of his Southern Democratic brethren. The engagement became very animated and interesting to a large audience. The Republicans were very considerate, and though they had a great deal to say on the subject, they stood back and allowed this most pestilent discussion to go on wholly in the ranks of the gentlemen who helped to elect Mr. Buchanan.

It could only be pleasing to those who enjoy discord, to witness the combat between these gentlemen. They threatened one another with breaking up the Democratic party, and this threat provoked the ungovernable retort that nobody cared, if they did. Regardless of one another's feelings, the combatants proceeded to say what they pleased, and every word tended to disturb the harmony of the relations which every good citizen desires to see subsist among old friends and acquaintances.

At one or two points of his discourse, Mr. Doug-

lass evidently forgot himself for the moment, and imagined himself on the stump in Illinois. This was particularly the case when he compared the relation of our territories to the Federal Government, to that of the Colonies to the British Crown in the time of our Revolution. If I remember right, there are a number of points of difference this would be likely to be detected by Senators. But such classic allusions are very apt to bring down the House when a man speaks out of doors at four corners, when they would not move a stone in the foundations of the Senate. Yet, on the whole, Mr. Douglas was not held to a very rigid account for his rhetorical opinions; but this was not so much from want of will as of skill and ability on the part of his opponents. Mr. Mason did the best of anybody, and, for high, very well. He grappled with Mr. Douglas's notions at close quarters, and serious signs of boarding and capture were at one time visible. But his fire slackened at a critical point in the engagement, and the threatened result did not take place.

Mr. Brown of Mississippi delivered a verbose discourse of a very spongy texture, in which he got out some general views of dissent from Douglas; but he was far from competent to carry on the discussion he provoked. Judge Wade catechized him as pointedly and persistently as politeness would permit, but Mr. Brown had evidently exhausted himself, and could not respond except in a very poor way. Jefferson Davis began valiantly, as he usually does; but he, too, flattered out as he proceeded, and did not distinguish himself by any exhibition of keenness or strength. Jefferson seems to labor under a load of reputation, that sticks to his back like a pack on all occasions, and this impedes the free use of his powers. He never takes on an abandon gait. His pretensions exceed his abilities.

If I understand Douglas's position, he cannot defend it logically on the admissions he makes on various points of the Dred Scott case. I have not time to-day to say that I did not fully comprehend, under his rapid exposition, but they seemed to be peculiar to himself, and designed to free his position from the difficulties that beset that of Douglas.

The debate, on the whole, disclosed a turbulent and most discouraging future for the gentlemen who helped to elect Mr. Buchanan. Congress seems likely to adjourn with that party in ruins.

J. S. P.

FROM ALBANY.

From Our Own Correspondent.

ALBANY, Thursday, Feb. 24, 1859.

The Legislature returned last evening, or a portion of it rather, after a adjournment of five days, and yet the bill again in motion. The Senate found itself without a quorum, and of course did nothing of any special moment. The House remained in session till after 10 o'clock, and went through with considerable routine business. To-day, a large proportion of the time in each House was taken up in pronouncing eulogiums on the character of the late Hon. Horatio J. Stow, Senator from the XXIXth District. In the Senate, Messrs. DIVEN, MATHER, JOHNSON and O. B. WHEELER made appropriate remarks on the character of the deceased Senator, and the Assembly was addressed on the same subject by Messrs. VAN HORN, LAM, SCHOLEFIELD, TUTTILL and TOMLINSON. I subjoin the remarks of Senator DIVEN:

MR. PRESIDENT: I need not remind the Senate that since the commencement of this session there has been one vacant seat around the circle. The manly form that occupied that seat at the last session was too distinguished not to have been missed at this. The hope that the Senator from the XXIXth would return to his place in this chamber, to cheer us with his genial spirit and his noble and unselfish devotion to the cause of the oppressed, has not been realized. His memory is still fresh in our minds. This State will no more be moved by his eloquence, persuaded by his logic, or delighted by his wit. All that that mortal of our friend and fellow Senator is now dust. Instantly Death has again shown us that he is no respecter of persons. It was not my privilege, Mr. President, to have known the Senator on all the great occasions of our last session. But my acquaintance was long enough to cause me to wish that it had commenced earlier and continued later. He was one of those warm, genial natures, in which friendship takes deep root and has a vigorous growth. He was ardent, earnest, sincere. He had a brilliant intellect, combined with a heart like all men of genius, he was eccentric—like all men of feeling, he was impulsive. But his eccentricities were never malicious—his impulses were always on the side of right. When the world of his nature had betrayed him into a hasty and unwise reflection, he was ready to make reparation when the heat of passion had subsided. His scorned all meanness and hypocrisy in others as much as his own nature rose above it. What is remarkable in a man of ardent temperament like him, is that, as a statesman, he was eminently conservative. He had evidently decided in his mind the theory of government, and was superficial in nothing connected with it. He rose above the politics, and stood forth the enlightened statesman. He laughed to scorn the bands of party discipline and gloried in the independence of will. He believed in integrity in political as well as in social life, and he was a politician who stood rebuked by his manly independence. I am sure the Senate will join me in the resolution which I now offer:

Resolved, That in the death of the Hon. Horatio J. Stow, the Senate has lost an able and faithful member, the State a noble and unselfish citizen, and the country a great and good man. It is the duty of the Senate, the country and the State to regret the loss of this noble and unselfish citizen, and to strive to maintain the principles of his life.

Resolved, That in the death of the Hon. Horatio J. Stow, the Senate has lost an able and faithful member, the State a noble and unselfish citizen, and the country a great and good man. It is the duty of the Senate, the country and the State to regret the loss of this noble and unselfish citizen, and to strive to maintain the principles of his life.

Resolved, That in the death of the Hon. Horatio J. Stow, the Senate has lost an able and faithful member, the State a noble and unselfish citizen, and the country a great and good man. It is the duty of the Senate, the country and the State to regret the loss of this noble and unselfish citizen, and to strive to maintain the principles of his life.

Resolved, That in the death of the Hon. Horatio J. Stow, the Senate has lost an able and faithful member, the State a noble and unselfish citizen, and the country a great and good man. It is the duty of the Senate, the country and the State to regret the loss of this noble and unselfish citizen, and to strive to maintain the principles of his life.

Resolved, That in the death of the Hon. Horatio J. Stow, the Senate has lost an able and faithful member, the State a noble and unselfish citizen, and the country a great and good man. It is the duty of the Senate, the country and the State to regret the loss of this noble and unselfish citizen, and to strive to maintain the principles of his life.

Resolved, That in the death of the Hon. Horatio J. Stow, the Senate has lost an able and faithful member, the State a noble and unselfish citizen, and the country a great and good man. It is the duty of the Senate, the country and the State to regret the loss of this noble and unselfish citizen, and to strive to maintain the principles of his life.

Resolved, That in the death of the Hon. Horatio J. Stow, the Senate has lost an able and faithful member, the State a noble and unselfish citizen, and the country a great and good man. It is the duty of the Senate, the country and the State to regret the loss of this noble and unselfish citizen, and to strive to maintain the principles of his life.

Resolved, That in the death of the Hon. Horatio J. Stow, the Senate has lost an able and faithful member, the State a noble and unselfish citizen, and the country a great and good man. It is the duty of the Senate, the country and the State to regret the loss of this noble and unselfish citizen, and to strive to maintain the principles of his life.

Resolved, That in the death of the Hon. Horatio J. Stow, the Senate has lost an able and faithful member, the State a noble and unselfish citizen, and the country a great and good man. It is the duty of the Senate, the country and the State to regret the loss of this noble and unselfish citizen, and to strive to maintain the principles of his life.

Resolved, That in the death of the Hon. Horatio J. Stow, the Senate has lost an able and faithful member, the State a noble and unselfish citizen, and the country a great and good man. It is the duty of the Senate, the country and the State to regret the loss of this noble and unselfish citizen, and to strive to maintain the principles of his life.

Resolved, That in the death of the Hon. Horatio J. Stow, the Senate has lost an able and faithful member, the State a noble and unselfish citizen, and the country a great and good man. It is the duty of the Senate, the country and the State to regret the loss of this noble and unselfish citizen, and to strive to maintain the principles of his life.

Resolved, That in the death of the Hon. Horatio J. Stow, the Senate has lost an able and faithful member, the State a noble and unselfish citizen, and the country a great and good man. It is the duty of the Senate, the country and the State to regret the loss of this noble and unselfish citizen, and to strive to maintain the principles of his life.

Resolved, That in the death of the Hon. Horatio J. Stow, the Senate has lost an able and faithful member, the State a noble and unselfish citizen, and the country a great and good man. It is the duty of the Senate, the country and the State to regret the loss of this noble and unselfish citizen, and to strive to maintain the principles of his life.

Resolved, That in the death of the Hon. Horatio J. Stow, the Senate has lost an able and faithful member, the State a noble and unselfish citizen, and the country a great and good man. It is the duty of the Senate, the country and the State to regret the loss of this noble and unselfish citizen, and to strive to maintain the principles of his life.

Resolved, That in the death of the Hon. Horatio J. Stow, the Senate has lost an able and faithful member, the State a noble and unselfish citizen, and the country a great and good man. It is the duty of the Senate, the country and the State to regret the loss of this noble and unselfish citizen, and to strive to maintain the principles of his life.

Resolved, That in the death of the Hon. Horatio J. Stow, the Senate has lost an able and faithful member, the State a noble and unselfish citizen, and the country a great and good man. It is the duty of the Senate, the country and the State to regret the loss of this noble and unselfish citizen, and to strive to maintain the principles of his life.

Resolved, That in the death of the Hon. Horatio J. Stow, the Senate has lost an able and faithful member, the State a noble and unselfish citizen, and the country a great and good man. It is the duty of the Senate, the country and the State to regret the loss of this noble and unselfish citizen, and to strive to maintain the principles of his life.

Resolved, That in the death of the Hon. Horatio J. Stow, the Senate has lost an able and faithful member, the State a noble and unselfish citizen, and the country a great and good man. It is the duty of the Senate, the country and the State to regret the loss of this noble and unselfish citizen, and to strive to maintain the principles of his life.

Resolved, That in the death of the Hon. Horatio J. Stow, the Senate has lost an able and faithful member, the State a noble and unselfish citizen, and the country a great and good man. It is the duty of the Senate, the country and the State to regret the loss of this noble and unselfish citizen, and to strive to maintain the principles of his life.

Resolved, That in the death of the Hon. Horatio J. Stow, the Senate has lost an able and faithful member, the State a noble and unselfish citizen, and the country a great and good man. It is the duty of the Senate, the country and the State to regret the loss of this noble and unselfish citizen, and to strive to maintain the principles of his life.

Resolved, That in the death of the Hon. Horatio J. Stow, the Senate has lost an able and faithful member, the State a noble and unselfish citizen, and the country a great and good man. It is the duty of the Senate, the country and the State to regret the loss of this noble and unselfish citizen, and to strive to maintain the principles of his life.

Resolved, That in the death of the Hon. Horatio J. Stow, the Senate has lost an able and faithful member, the State a noble and unselfish citizen, and the country a great and good man. It is the duty of the Senate, the country and the State to regret the loss of this noble and unselfish citizen, and to strive to maintain the principles of his life.

Resolved, That in the death of the Hon. Horatio J. Stow, the Senate has lost an able and faithful member, the State a noble and unselfish citizen, and the country a great and good man. It is the duty of the Senate, the country and the State to regret the loss of this noble and unselfish citizen, and to strive to maintain the principles of his life.

Resolved, That in the death of the Hon. Horatio J. Stow, the Senate has lost an able and faithful member, the State a noble and unselfish citizen, and the country a great and good man. It is the duty of the Senate, the country and the State to regret the loss of this noble and unselfish citizen, and to strive to maintain the principles of his life.

Resolved, That in the death of the Hon. Horatio J. Stow, the Senate has lost an able and faithful member, the State a noble and unselfish citizen, and the country a great and good man. It is the duty of the Senate, the country and the State to regret the loss of this noble and unselfish citizen, and to strive to maintain the principles of his life.

Resolved, That in the death of the Hon. Horatio J. Stow, the Senate has lost an able and faithful member, the State a noble and unselfish citizen, and the country a great and good man. It is the duty of the Senate, the country and the State to regret the loss of this noble and unselfish citizen, and to strive to maintain the principles of his life.

Resolved, That in the death of the Hon. Horatio J. Stow, the Senate has lost an able and faithful member, the State a noble and unselfish citizen, and the country a great and good man. It is the duty of the Senate, the country and the State to regret the loss of this noble and unselfish citizen, and to strive to maintain the principles of his life.

Resolved, That in the death of the Hon. Horatio J. Stow, the Senate has lost an able and faithful member, the State a noble and unselfish citizen, and the country a great and good man. It is the duty of the Senate, the country and the State to regret the loss of this noble and unselfish citizen, and to strive to maintain the principles of his life.

Resolved, That in the death of the Hon. Horatio J. Stow, the Senate has lost an able and faithful member, the State a noble and unselfish citizen, and the country a great and good man. It is the duty of the Senate, the country and the State to regret the loss of this noble and unselfish citizen, and to strive to maintain the principles of his life.

Resolved, That in the death of the Hon. Horatio J. Stow, the Senate has lost an able and faithful member, the State a noble and unselfish citizen, and the country a great and good man. It is the duty of the Senate, the country and the State to regret the loss of this noble and unselfish citizen, and to strive to maintain the principles of his life.

Resolved, That in the death of the Hon. Horatio J. Stow, the Senate has lost an able and faithful member, the State a noble and unselfish citizen, and the country a great and good man. It is the duty of the Senate, the country and the State to regret the loss of this noble and unselfish citizen, and to strive to maintain the principles of his life.

Resolved, That in the death of the Hon. Horatio J. Stow, the Senate has lost an able and faithful member, the State a noble and unselfish citizen, and the country a great and good man. It is the duty of the Senate, the country and the State to regret the loss of this noble and unselfish citizen, and to strive to maintain the principles of his life.

Resolved, That in the death of the Hon. Horatio J. Stow, the Senate has lost an able and faithful member, the State a noble and unselfish citizen, and the country a great and good man. It is the duty of the Senate, the country and the State to regret the loss of this noble and unselfish citizen, and to strive to maintain the principles of his life.

Resolved, That in the death of the Hon. Horatio J. Stow, the Senate has lost an able and faithful member, the State a noble and unselfish citizen, and the country a great and good man. It is the duty of the Senate, the country and the State to regret the loss of this noble and unselfish citizen, and to strive to maintain the principles of his life.

Morgan that he has appointed the Hon. Gideon Wells of Hartford, the Hon. Oliver H. Perry of Fairfield, and Philip S. Beebe, esq., of Litchfield, commissioners on the part of that State, to set with such commissioners as may be appointed by the State of New-York, to settle the disputed boundary line between the two States. It is presumed that new commissioners will be appointed by this State under an act yet to be passed at this session of the Legislature.

MARINE AFFAIRS.

THE NORTH RIVER.

The river was clear of ice on Wednesday as far up as Newburgh Bay. Opposite Albany, the ice is becoming very rotten—decaying so rapidly within the past two days as to awaken fears for the safety of loaded barges, attempting to pass over. The railroad companies are cutting a passage, so as to save their boats. If the present weather continues, we shall have a resumption of river navigation by the 1st of March.

THE BLACK WARRIOR.

The steaming *Black Warrior*, Capt. Garmine, arrived yesterday afternoon from the steaming *Black Warrior*, where at Rockaway Bar. Capt. G. reports the steamer lying in the same position, with strong hopes of getting her off if the weather proves favorable. A steam-pump has reduced the water two feet. Another pump is being fitted on board, and will, in all probability, keep her free. The steamer brought 40 barrels of flour, the ship's bedding and furniture.

THE STEAMER MARION.

The steamer *Marion* lies in the same position. Efforts were made on Thursday to get her off, but were of no avail.

FOR EUROPE.

The British and North American Royal Mail Company's steamship *Lebanon* will sail on Saturday, at 1